College Course Development Evaluation Criteria

Category #1: Scientific and Technical Merit of the New Course

Weight: 20%

- 1. The proposed course is in line with NASA's overall mission and goals of promoting STEM education.
- 2. The new course has a significant effect on aerospace and science education in West Virginia.
- 3. The new course syllabus is clear and well planned.
- 4. The need for the new course and plans for sharing it with other institutions are clearly defined.

Category #2: The Depth and Breadth of Impact on the Students Weight: 20%

- 1. The course helps students in their educational and scientific career.
- 2. The new course helps students to better prepare for attending graduate school or higher level of education.

Category #3: Long-Term Viability of the Course

Weight: 20%

- 1. The course content is up to date and cutting edge.
- 2. The course fills an important gap in the existing curriculum of the institution and is likely to become a permanent part of the curriculum.

Category #4: Plans for Increasing Participation of Under-Represented Groups Weight: 10%

1. The course is designed such that it addresses the need for increased participation of women and under-represented groups in STEM education.

Category #5: Commitment by the Institution to Continue the Course

Weight: 20%

- 1. The project impacts a large number of the targeted community.
- 2. The institution has provided 1:1 cost share.

Category #6: Collaboration with NASA and use of NASA Supplied Materials Weight: 10%

- 1. The course description includes plans for collaboration with a NASA entity.
- 2. The course will make use of the knowledge, material, and data supplied by NASA.