Extension and Public Outreach Evaluation Criteria

Category #1: Uniqueness and Relevance

Weight: 30%

- 1. The project is in line with NASA's overall mission and goals of promoting interest in STEM education.
- 2. The project has a significant effect on aerospace and science programs in West Virginia.
- 3. The project goals are worthy of support.
- 4. Plans for publicizing the award and the results are clearly defined.

Category #2: Prospects for Success and Soundness of Approach

Weight: 20%

- 1. The project approach is carefully designed and is related to the goals of the project.
- 2. Proposal clearly states specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and time bound (SMART) outcomes based objectives with an efficient documentation plan.
- 3. Goals, objectives, and documentation plan are clearly stated, are SMART in nature and are appropriate for the proposed level of funding.
- 4. Evaluation strategy will provide information for future improvement.

Category #3: Budget and Institutional Support

Weight: 20%

- 1. The budget is realistic for the work proposed.
- 2. Budget and justification are well defined and represent a highly effective use of resources.
- 3. Cost share requirements are met and supported by various partners.

Category #4: Qualifications of the Applying Group

Weight: 15%

- 1. The PIs have a proven track record of successfully implementing similar outreach projects.
- 2. Given the experience of the applying group, there is an excellent chance of success for this project.

Category #5: Depth and Breadth of Impact on the Community

Weight: 15%

- 1. The project impacts a large number of the targeted community and includes efforts to reach out to under-represented groups.
- 2. The project will have a deep and lasting impact on the targeted community.