

## EPSCoR College-University Collaboration Evaluation Criteria

### **Category #1: Scientific and Technical Merit**

Weight: 40%

1. The purposes of the study are clear and sufficiently detailed. The hypotheses [goals/objectives] are explicit.(15)
2. The proposed research goals are original and worthy of support. (15)
3. There is sufficient attention given to related research by others. (10)

### **Category #2: Relevance to NASA's mission and WV Priorities**

Weight: 10%

1. The project is in line with NASA's overall mission and goals.(5)
2. The project is in line with WV's priorities in science and technology needs. (5)

### **Category #3: Collaboration Plan and Research Methodology**

Weight: 20%

1. The study design is carefully related to the goals of the project. (5)
2. The study provides the data or the desired outcomes needed to achieve the aims of the project. (5)
3. The methodology is sufficiently detailed. (5)
4. Collaboration plan between the two academic PIs, and their respective roles is clearly defined. (5)

### **Category #4: Mentor's Credentials**

Weight: 10%

1. The mentor from WVU or Marshall is a highly qualified, established researcher and indicates commitment to the success of this project. (5)
2. Successful completion of the proposed research has the potential for future funding from other sources. (5)

### **Category #5: Budget and Institutional Support**

Weight: 10%

1. The budget is realistic for the work proposed. (5)
2. The budget justification is sufficiently detailed and specific tasks are clearly related to personnel, time, and budget. (5)